

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addines: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,274	12/15/2003	Karel Hero Mulder	2005-1021	1503	
466 7590 06/22/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
209 Madison S			NGUYEN, HUONG Q		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,		3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/734,274	MULDER, KAREL HERO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HELEN NGUYEN	3736	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension and the above benefitied is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later in the tree months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. \(\to \) The Notice of Appeal was filed on \(\tilde \) \(\tilde \) \(\tilde \) A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to a void dismissal of the appeal since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant folial to provide a charing a faced and cufficient records with the efficient to other windows in provide a charing a faced and cufficient records with the efficient to other windows in processors and

- because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/Max Hindenburg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant s arguments have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Suydam does not teach a unodojcal instrument for measuring interflow. However, it is noted that in the apparatus claim said recitation constitutes intended use. Applicant is reminded that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentiably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Suydam has been modified by Dye to include a flow indicator in the form of graduated indicia of 24. One of ordinary skill in the art would know how to modify the funnel of Suydam to include graduated indicia or reasons such as using said flow indicator to gauge the amount of fluid flowing into the device. This would be relevant because a user might want to use the device as few times before disposal and the graduated indicia ont evicle any structure associated with the flow indicator. The same reasoning is applied to dependent Claim 27 regarding the volume indicator, which also does not have any structure associated with the flow indicator. The same reasoning is applied to dependent Claim 27 regarding the volume indicator, which also does not have any structure associated with the flow indicator. The same reasoning is applied to dependent Claim 27 regarding the volume indicator, which also does not have any structure associated with the flow indicator. The same reasoning is applied to dependent Claim 28, it is in a since to the open dependent claim 28 in its in the closed possible drained of the subject of the device. It is in the closed possible drained for the reasons elaborated in the outstanding Finial Action.